1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TOMIO B. NARITA (SBN 156576) tnarita@snllp.com JEFFREY A. TOPOR (SBN 195545) jtopor@snllp.com R. TRAVIS CAMPBELL (SBN 271580) tcampbell@snllp.com SIMMONDS & NARITA LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 San Francisco, CA 94104-4816 Telephone: (415) 283-1000 Facsimile: (415) 352-2625 Attorneys for Defendants Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, Hunt & Henriques, Michael Scott Hunt, Janalie Ann Henriques, and Anthony J. DiPiero	
11		DIGEDICE COLUDE
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	HH IA C MEZA 1-1-16-6116)	CACE NO . 5.14 02406 LUV
15	JULIA C. MEZA, on behalf of herself) and all other similarly situated,	CASE NO.: 5:14-cv-03486-LHK
16	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
17		CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
18	VS.	
19	PORTFOLIO RECOVERY	
20	ASSOCIATES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; HUNT &	
21	HENRIQUES, a general partnership;) MICHAEL SCOTT HUNT,)	
22	individually and in his official) capacity; JANALIE ANN	
23	HÉNRÍQUES, individually and in her official capacity; ANTHONY J. DIPIERO, individually and in his	
24	DIPIERO, individually and in his official capacity;	
25	Defendants.	
26)	
27		
28		

1	Before the Court is Defendants' Administrative Motion to consider whether	
2	the following cases should be deemed related under Civil Local Rule 3-12:	
3	Meza v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, et al., Case No.: 5:14-cv-03486-	
4	LHK ("Meza");	
5	Ciganek v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, et al., Case No.: 5:15-cv-03837-	
6	LHK ("Ciganek");	
7	James v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, Case No.: 3:14-cv-03889-EMC	
8	("James");	
9	Gaines v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, et al., Case No.: 3-19-cv-01078-	
10	LB ("Gaines"); and	
11	Combs v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, et al., Case No.: 5:19-cv-01118-	
12	NC ("Combs") (together, "the CCP 98 cases").	
13	Having read and considered the papers, the Court hereby GRANTS the motion	
14	and ORDERS that the CCP 98 cases be related to each other.	
15	The plaintiffs in each case assert identical claims against Portfolio Recovery	
16	Associations, LLC ("PRA") and its attorneys under the Fair Debt Collection	
17	Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., arising from declarations PRA	
18	and its attorneys served on debtors pursuant to Section 98 of the California Code of	
19	Civil Procedure ("CCP 98") in state court actions to collect delinquent financial	
20	obligations owed to PRA. The plaintiffs in each case allege the PRA declarants	
21	were not "available for service of process" as required by CCP 98 because they	
22	authorized representatives (defendant Hunt & Henriques and other entities) to accept	
23	service on their behalf. As a result, the plaintiffs contend the PRA declarations	
24	violated sections 1692e and 1692f of the FDCPA. The plaintiffs are all represented	
25	by the same counsel and each case is styled as a putative class action.	
26	The Court has already found Ciganek related to Meza, and ordered it	
27	transferred to this Court. Doc. No. 76. The Court explained the cases were related	
28	because they both "concern substantially the same defendants, claims and events,	

Case 5:19-cv-01118-LHK Document 16 Filed 04/22/19 Page 3 of 3

and it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges." Id. at p. 1. James, Gaines and Combs are related for the same reasons. Accordingly, James, Gaines and Combs shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge. All future filings are to bear the initials "LHK." A copy of this Order shall be filed in the James, Gaines and Combs dockets. DATED: April 22, 2019 United States District Judge